1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney
2 3	THOMAS MOORE (ALBN 4305-O78T) Chief, Tax Division
4	THOMAS NEWMAN (NYBN 4256178) Assistant United States Attorney
5	
6	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
7	Telephone: (415) 436-7200
8	FAX: (415) 436-7009 Thomas.newman2@usdoj.gov
9	Attorneys for United States of America
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
13	GOLDEN WEST FORECLOSURE SERVICE, ) Case No. C-14-2154-WHO
14	INC., a CALIFORNIA CORPORATION,
15	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION TO REMAND CASE
16	v. )
17	) 3066 MARKET STREET, LL, et al., )
18	Defendants.
19	
20	
21	The parties stipulate as follows:
22	1. Defendant, the United States, removed this case from the Superior Court of California on
23	May 9, 2014, as case number CGC-14-538585.
24	2. The facts contained in this stipulation are not intended to be binding, except that
25	defendant the United States disclaims an interest in the proceeds from the sale of real property that was
26	sold and is the subject of this action.
27	3. Because this case involves property that the IRS may claim an interest, the United States
28	removed the case from state court as there was a federal claim related to the federal tax lien on the STIPULATION C-14-2154-WHO

DATED: August 15, 2014

STIPULATION C-14-2154-WHO

subject property. The action was removed by defendant the United States of America pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442(a)(1) and 1444.

- 4. Since the removal was filed, counsel for the United States has discussed this action with plaintiffs' counsel. The United States' claim to the proceeds from the real property sale is the sole basis for federal jurisdiction. In addition, it appears as though there may be significant or numerous state law issues and the United States hereby disclaims an interest in the property at issue in this case. For that reason, it the state law issues are more predominant and the there is no federal issue that would permit federal jurisdiction over this case.
- 5. Based on the foregoing, the parties agree that this case should be remanded the County of San Francisco Superior Court, and any pending hearing in this Court should be vacated.

Respectfully submitted,

MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney

THOMAS M. NEWMAN
Assistant United States Attorney
Tax Division

\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

CHERYL ROUSE Attorney for Plaintiff GOLDEN WEST FORECLOSURE SERVICE, INC.

## **ORDER**

Based on the parties' stipulation, the Court finds that the issues in this case arise predominantly under state law. A district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction where "the district court has dismissed all claims over which it has original jurisdiction." *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(3); *see also Bryant v. Adventist Health System/West*, 289 F. 3d 1162, 1169 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding where district court grants judgment on federal claims, district court, pursuant to § 1367(c)(3), may properly decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over remaining state law claims). Further, a district court may decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over claims that "substantially predominate[] over the claim or claims over which the district court has original jurisdiction." *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1367(c)(2). The Court DECLINES to exercise supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state law claims.

## Case 3:14-cv-02154-WHO Document 11 Filed 08/18/14 Page 3 of 3

Accordingly, the above-titled action is hereby REMANDED in its entirely to the Superior Court of California, in and for the County of San Francisco, and the Clerk is DIRECTED to transfer forthwith the instant order, at the following address:

Clerk, Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 400 McAllister St. San Francisco, CA 94102-4515

IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: August 18, 2014

THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ORRICK United States District Judge

STIPULATION C-14-2154-WHO